

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 14, 2001 LB 574

maybe need to spend a little bit more time to figure out. But this is a bill that we need to advance this year. Nebraska needs to be in line with all the other states that have digital driver's license, much more sophisticated driver's license. Nebraska...Nebraska's drivers' licenses are absolutely one of the most easy forms of identification to duplicate that you can imagine. Kids are doing it, people are doing it right and left, anybody who has access to a color copier is probably doing it. We need to move to digital drivers' licenses...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BROWN: ...this year. And so don't lose sight of that in the discussion that we're having, a very legitimate discussion about how much information, how much of your personal information you want available on those drivers' licenses; how much of your personal information you want available for whomever might have access to that. We...we are beginning to reconsider how ubiquitous we have been with the use of Social Security numbers as a form of identification on...and what we request Social Security numbers for. This...and the unfortunate thing with Social Security numbers is that we've already begun using them so much and they're on so many databases that being able to restrict that use is...is very difficult.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR BROWN: And so, with this, we can restrict the use right away. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Brown. Senator Brashear, and this will be your third time, Senator.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Thank you, Mr. President, yes. Members of the body, Senator Bromm, as we maybe close this out, I want to approach the digital signature issue in Section...of subpart (2) of Section 4 again and approach it a little differently. If you take the whole of Section 4 together, it closes, at subpart (3), with the general prohibition, "and shall not release them except to a law enforcement agency for law enforcement purposes." Now I don't know whether we're talking, under statutory